

Writing That Works

A practical guide to briefs, structure and revision.



Clara West

Fictional editorial pen name • Original learning workbook

Guided explanations, examples, exercises and writing space

READ BEFORE USING

A practical workbook, honestly described.

Writing That Works uses the fictional editorial pen name Clara West. That name does not represent a verified professional identity, biography, qualification or affiliation.

This is original, AI-assisted educational starter material. It contains guided practice pages, fictional scenarios, planning tools, self-review rubrics and writing space. It is not a 256-page continuous-prose textbook, an accredited course, a professional certification or individual advice.

The examples are invented for practice. Organisations, clients, outcomes and figures in exercises are fictional. Do not use them as customer testimonials or as evidence of commercial results.

You may complete the exercises for your own learning or authorised internal training. A store owner should review and approve the content, product description and local terms before selling it. Do not imply endorsement by any named platform or software provider.

For exercises involving personal or organisational information, work with fictional or authorised material. Keep passwords, card details, sensitive records and confidential information out of shared worksheets.

This workbook does not promise employment, earnings, business growth or any particular result. Professional decisions require appropriate review for your own circumstances.

HOW TO USE THIS BOOK

One useful lab at a time.

Choose your context

Writers-in-training who want reusable exercises for everyday professional documents.

Use a real, bounded project

Pick a small task you can describe without disclosing confidential information. You can instead use the fictional studio examples in the labs.

Work through eight moves

Each lab includes a concept brief, a worked example, a mapping page, a decision table, a constraint scenario, a project draft, a self-review and a next-step plan.

Treat writing space as working space

The pages intentionally leave room for sketches, drafts and corrections. Record why you made a choice, not just the choice itself.

Check before you apply

Separate what you observed from what you assumed. Test a small revision and ask a suitable reviewer about high-impact decisions.

CONTENTS

Your learning route / 1

01 / Plan the message

- 01 Reader profile — page 9
- 02 Writing goal — page 17
- 03 Evidence inventory — page 25
- 04 Scope limits — page 33
- 05 Brief summary — page 41

02 / Build the structure

- 06 Main point — page 49
- 07 Outline test — page 57
- 08 Section order — page 65
- 09 Paragraph purpose — page 73
- 10 Opening options — page 81

03 / Draft with purpose

- 11 Useful examples — page 89
- 12 Concrete details — page 97
- 13 Transitions — page 105
- 14 Calls to action — page 113
- 15 Closing summary — page 121

CONTENTS

Your learning route / 2

04 / Make it clear

16 Plain-language pass — page 129

17 Sentence length — page 137

18 Terminology check — page 145

19 Tone review — page 153

20 Reader questions — page 161

05 / Edit deliberately

21 Cut repetition — page 169

22 Check claims — page 177

23 Consistency pass — page 185

24 Feedback request — page 193

25 Revision record — page 201

06 / Prepare to publish

26 Final checklist — page 209

27 Document format — page 217

28 Accessibility notes — page 225

29 Distribution plan — page 233

30 Reflection — page 241

LAB 01 / PLAN THE MESSAGE

Reader profile

Purpose

Use this lab to make reader profile visible rather than leaving it as a vague intention. The output is a one-page reader profile. Aim for a draft you can explain and revise, not a polished document with missing assumptions.

Connect it to your route

This task belongs to plan the message. Review your notes on reader profile and decide which inputs are relevant. Do not copy every earlier detail; carry forward only information that supports the current decision.

A practical method

First, name the person who will use the result. Then record the question, the inputs you have and the information still missing. Draft the smallest useful version. Compare it with the intended outcome and ask what could be misunderstood.

Observation versus assumption

Label a statement as observed, supplied, estimated or still unknown. An attractive layout does not make an unsupported claim more reliable. Keep an evidence note beside any decision that depends on a fact.

Ready for review when

A reader can identify the purpose, your chosen approach and one next action. Keep unresolved questions visible so the next lab, writing goal, starts from an honest record.

Your focus

Write one sentence describing what this lab should help you decide.

LAB 01 / WORKED EXAMPLE

A draft you can question.

The fictional example follows a small studio preparing a one-page reader profile for a small project. They have one working session and need something another person can understand without a long explanation.

Initial draft

“We need a better reader profile.” This names the area but not the user, boundary or test of success.

More useful draft

“Create a one-page reader profile for our next review. State the intended user, the three most important inputs, one unresolved question and the action we want the reader to take.”

Review note

The team separates supplied information from estimates. They keep the first version small and ask a second person to explain what they think it means.

Revision decision

If the reader cannot explain the intended action, revise the order and labels before adding more detail. Carry the unresolved question into writing goal.

Your critique

What would you keep, change or test in this fictional example?

LAB 01 / MAP THE INPUTS

Make the starting point explicit.

Complete the fields for reader profile. A precise boundary is more useful than a long list of unsupported detail.

User and purpose

Who will use the result? What decision should it support?

Known inputs

What information is available? Record its source or origin.

Unknowns and assumptions

Which statements need checking before you rely on them?

Constraints

What limits the time, scope, format or level of detail?

LAB 01 / COMPARE OPTIONS

Choose with a reason.

List three possible approaches to reader profile. Compare them using the same criteria, then explain your choice. Leave a question open rather than filling an unknown cell with a guess.

Approach	Why it helps	Main limitation	What to check

Preferred approach

State your choice, the reason for it and the uncertainty that remains.

LAB 01 / REVIEW RUBRIC

Check the work, not just completion.

Review your reader profile using the questions below. Mark each one as clear, needs work or not yet checked. Write an example to justify your mark.

Purpose

Can a reader explain what this output is for?

Evidence

Are observations and assumptions visibly separated?

Usability

Is the structure clear enough for the intended reader?

Action

Is there a realistic next step and a named unresolved question?
